

ChatGPT:

Prompt:

write a 500 word article about the ethics of training generative AI, and how recent advances in generative AI could alter the future of creative jobs, coding jobs, and the labor market at large

Results:

The ethics of training generative AI, and the potential impact on the future of creative and coding jobs, is a topic of much debate and discussion in the world of technology and artificial intelligence.

Generative AI refers to a type of artificial intelligence that is able to generate new and unique outputs, such as text, images, or music, without being specifically trained on a particular dataset. This is in contrast to traditional AI, which relies on being trained on large amounts of data in order to make predictions or perform tasks.

Recent advances in generative AI, such as the development of GPT-3, a language model with 175 billion parameters, have raised concerns about the potential impact on creative and coding jobs. Some argue that as generative AI becomes more advanced, it will be able to create high-quality content, such as music or code, that is indistinguishable from human-generated content. This could potentially lead to a loss of jobs in these fields, as AI is able to do the work more efficiently and at a lower cost.

However, others argue that generative AI will not replace human creativity and coding ability, but rather augment and enhance it. For example, generative AI could be used to assist human artists and coders by providing them with new ideas and suggestions, or by automating tedious and time-consuming tasks. This could allow human creators to focus on the more high-level and creative aspects of their work, leading to even better and more innovative outputs.

In terms of ethics, there are also concerns about the potential bias and discrimination that could be introduced by generative AI. Because AI is trained on large amounts of data, it is important to ensure that the data is representative and free from bias. If the data used to train generative AI is biased, the AI could produce biased outputs, which could perpetuate and even amplify existing societal biases and inequalities.

Additionally, the use of generative AI raises questions about ownership and intellectual property. If AI is able to create original content, who owns the rights to that content? And if AI is used to assist human creators, how do we ensure that they are properly credited and compensated for their work? These are complex ethical issues that will need to be addressed as generative AI continues to advance.

Overall, the ethics of training generative AI, and the potential impact on creative

	<p>and coding jobs, is a topic that requires careful consideration and debate. While generative AI has the potential to augment and enhance human creativity and coding ability, it is important to ensure that it is developed and used in a responsible and ethical manner, so as not to negatively impact the labor market and society at large.</p>
<p>I then asked ChatGPT "can you revise that to include a brief discussion of what Lensa is and the criticism it received for training its model on art without getting artists' consent":</p>	<p>Results:</p> <p>The ethics of training generative AI, and the potential impact on the future of creative and coding jobs, is a topic of much debate and discussion in the world of technology and artificial intelligence. One example of this is the controversy surrounding Lensa, a company that uses generative AI to create art.</p> <p>Lensa received criticism for training its AI model on a large dataset of art without obtaining the consent of the artists. This raised concerns about intellectual property and the potential for the AI to create art that is indistinguishable from human-generated art, potentially leading to a loss of jobs for human artists.</p> <p>Generative AI refers to a type of artificial intelligence that is able to generate new and unique outputs, such as text, images, or music, without being specifically trained on a particular dataset. This is in contrast to traditional AI, which relies on being trained on large amounts of data in order to make predictions or perform tasks.</p> <p>Recent advances in generative AI, such as the development of GPT-3, a language model with 175 billion parameters, have raised concerns about the potential impact on creative and coding jobs. Some argue that as generative AI becomes more advanced, it will be able to create high-quality content, such as music or code, that is indistinguishable from human-generated content. This could potentially lead to a loss of jobs in these fields, as AI is able to do the work more efficiently and at a lower cost.</p> <p>However, others argue that generative AI will not replace human creativity and coding ability, but rather augment and enhance it. For example, generative AI could be used to assist human artists and coders by providing them with new ideas and suggestions, or by automating tedious and time-consuming tasks. This could allow human creators to focus on the more high-level and creative aspects of their work, leading to even better and more innovative outputs.</p> <p>In terms of ethics, there are also concerns about the potential bias and discrimination that could be introduced by generative AI. Because AI is trained on large amounts of data, it is important to ensure that the data is representative and</p>

	<p>free from bias. If the data used to train generative AI is biased, the AI could produce biased outputs, which could perpetuate and even amplify existing societal biases and inequalities.</p> <p>Overall, the ethics of training generative AI, and the potential impact on creative and coding jobs, is a topic that requires careful consideration and debate. While generative AI has the potential to augment and enhance human creativity and coding ability, it is important to ensure that it is developed and used in a responsible and ethical manner, so as not to negatively impact the labor market and society at large.</p>
--	---

PARAGRAPH AI:

<p>Prompt:</p> <p>generative AI has the potential to be a powerful tool in my own toolkit as a journalist, but many creative professionals are afraid that it will upend their livelihoods. In some cases, generative AI is already undermining demand for human workers such as artists</p>	<p>Results:</p> <p>Generative AI is a powerful tool that can be used by journalists, writers, and other creative professionals, but it also has the potential to disrupt the industry. Already, generative AI is being used to create artwork, music, and other creative products that would traditionally require a human touch. As a journalist, I believe that generative AI has the potential to provide an invaluable tool in my toolkit, allowing me to create more complex stories and data visualizations.</p> <p>However, many creative professionals rightfully feel threatened by the potential of generative AI to replace them. They worry that their skills and talents will become obsolete as AI technology continues to develop. In some cases, generative AI is already undermining the demand for human workers, such as artists. The fear is that as AI technology becomes more advanced, it will eventually be able to replicate any creative product, thus eliminating the need for human creators.</p> <p>Written with ParagraphAI.</p>
<p>I tried the same prompt again, but used ParagraphAI's configurations for tone to ask for a "pessimistic" version</p>	<p>Results:</p>

Generative AI has the potential to be a powerful tool in the toolkit of many professionals, including journalists. However, many creative professionals are understandably concerned that this technology will upend their livelihoods. Unfortunately, in some cases, generative AI is already having a negative impact on the demand for human workers, such as artists. This is a worrying trend, and one that should be addressed with caution.

Generative AI can be used to automate certain tasks, such as creating artwork or writing articles. This automation could potentially reduce the demand for human labor, as AI-generated work may be cheaper and more efficient than human-created work. This could have a devastating effect on the livelihoods of creative professionals, who may find themselves unable to compete with AI-generated content.

At the same time, generative AI could also be used to enhance the work of creative professionals.

Written with ParagraphAI.